arXiv:math/0501297v1 [math.ST] 19 Jan 2005

GENERALIZED ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEAN DIVERGENCE MEASURE AND THEIR STATISTICAL ASPECTS

INDER JEET TANEJA

Abstract. Using Blackwell's definition of comparing two experiments, a comparison is made with *generalized AG - divergence* measure having one and two scalar parameters. Connection of *generalized AG - divergence* measure with *Fisher measure of information* is also presented. A unified *generalization of AG - divergence* and *Jensen-Shannon divergence measures* is also presented.

1. Introduction

Several measures have been introduced in the literature on information theory and statistics as measures of information. The most famous in the literature of statistics is Fisher [9] *measure of information*. It measures the amount of information supplied by data about an unknown parameter θ. The most commonly used in information theory is the Shannon [18] *entropy*. It gives the amount of uncertainty concerning the outcome of an experiment. Kullback and Leibler [13] introduced a measure associated with two distributions of an experiment. It expresses the amount of information supplied by the data for discriminating among the distribution. As a symmetric measure, Jeffreys-Kullback-Leibler *J-divergence* is commonly used. Rényi [16] generalized both Shannon *entropy* and Kullback-Leibler *relative information* by introducing a scalar parameter. Burbea and Rao [4], [5] and Taneja [21], [22] have proposed various alternative ways to generalize the Jeffreys-Kullback-Leibler *J-divergence*. The proposed measures of Burbe and Rao [4], [5] involve one parameter. Measures proposed by author [22] involve two scalar parameters.

Let $\mathcal{E}_X = \{X, S_X, P_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$ denote a statistical experiment in which a random variable or random vector X defined on some sample space S_X is to be observed and the distribution P_θ of X depends on the parameter θ whose values are unknown and lie in some parameter space Θ. We shall assume that there exists a generalized probability density function $p(x|\theta)$ for the distribution P_θ with respect to $\sigma-$finite measure μ. Let also Ξ denote the class of all prior distributions on the parameter space Θ. Given a prior distribution $\xi \in \Xi$, let $p(x)$ denote the corresponding marginal generalized probability density function (gpdf)

$$p(x) = \int_\Theta p(x|\theta) d\xi(\theta).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94A17; 62B10.

Key words and phrases. Comparison of experiments; Fisher measure of information; Generalized AG–divergence; JS–divergence.

Similarly, if we have two prior distributions $\xi_1,\ \xi_2\ \in \Xi$, the corresponding marginal gpdf's are

$$p_i(x) = \int_\Theta p(x|\theta) d\xi_i(\theta),\ i = 1, 2.$$

In this context, the *relative information*, the *J–divergence*, the *Jensen-Shannon divergence*, and the *arithmetic and geometric mean divergence* measures are given as follows:

- **Relative information** (Kullback and Leibler [13])

$$K(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \int p_1(x) \ln \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}\right) d\mu. \tag{1}$$

- **J − divergence** (Jeffreys [11], Kullback and Leibler [13])

$$J(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \int p_1(x) \ln \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}\right) d\mu + \int p_2(x) \ln \left(\frac{p_2(x)}{p_1(x)}\right) d\mu. \tag{2}$$

- **Jensen–Shannon divergence** (Sibson [19], Burbea and Rao [4], [5])

$$\begin{aligned} I(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \frac{1}{2} \int [p_1(x) \ln p_1(x) + p_2(x) \ln p_2(x)] \\ - \int \left(\frac{p_1(x) + p_2(x)}{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_1(x) + p_2(x)}{2}\right) d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- **AG − divergence** (Taneja [22])

$$T(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \int \left(\frac{p_1(x) + p_2(x)}{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_1(x) + p_2(x)}{2\sqrt{p_1(x)p_2(x)}}\right) d\mu. \tag{4}$$

The three divergence measures given above can be written in terms of Kullback-Leibler *relative information* as

$$J(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = K(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) + K(\xi_2; \xi_1||X), \tag{5}$$

$$I(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \frac{1}{2} \left[K(\xi_1; \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}||X) + K(\xi_2; \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}||X)\right] \tag{6}$$

and

$$T(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) = \frac{1}{2} \left[K(\frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}; \xi_1||X) + K(\frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2}; \xi_2||X)\right]. \tag{7}$$

Moreover we have the following equality holding among the three divergence measures

$$I(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) + T(\xi_2; \xi_1||X) = \frac{1}{4} J(\xi_1; \xi_2||X). \tag{8}$$

Recently, author [24] proved an interesting inequality among these three divergence measures:

$$I(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \leqslant \frac{1}{8}J(\xi_2;\xi_1||X) \leqslant T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), \tag{9}$$

where all the probability distributions involved are positive.

In view of (8) the inequalities (9) can be extended as follows:

$$I(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \leqslant \frac{1}{8}J(\xi_2;\xi_1||X) \leqslant T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \leqslant \frac{1}{4}J(\xi_2;\xi_1||X). \tag{10}$$

Based on above notations, the Csiszár [6] $\phi-$*divergence* is given by

$$C_\phi(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_2(x)\phi\left(\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}\right)d\mu, \tag{11}$$

where the function ϕ is arbitrary convex function defined in the interval $(0,\infty)$. In order to avoid meaningless expressions, the functions ϕ satisfy some conventional conditions given in [6].

In this paper we shall present two parameter generalizations of the AG – *divergence*. Also we shall present one parametric unified generalization of the measures (3) and (4). For two parametric generalization of the measures (2) and (3) refer to Taneja [22]. Also refer on line book by author [23]. Here, in this paper we shall make connections of *generalized* AG – *divergence measures* with Fisher measure of information. The comparison of experiments is also studied applying Blackwell's [2] approach.

2. Unified $(r,s)-$Arithmetic and Geometric Mean Divergence Measures

In this section, we shall present two different ways of generalizing the AG – *divergence* measure (4). Before it we shall give two parametric *unified* $(r,s)-$*generalization* [22] of the *relative information*:

$$\mathcal{K}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \begin{cases} K_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1 \\ K_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r = 1,\ s \neq 1 \\ K_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r \neq 1,\ s = 1 \\ K(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r = 1,\ s = 1 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

for all $r > 0$ and $-\infty < s < \infty$, where

$$K_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (s-1)^{-1}\left[\left(\int p_1(x)^r p_2(x)^{1-r}d\mu\right)^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} - 1\right],\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1$$

$$K_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (s-1)^{-1}\left[e^{(s-1)K(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)} - 1\right],\ s \neq 1$$

and

$$K_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (r-1)^{-1}\ln\left(\int p_1(x)^r p_2(x)^{1-r}d\mu\right),\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1.$$

2.1. First Generalizations. In (7) replace $K(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ by $\mathcal{K}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{K}_r^s(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_1||X)+\mathcal{K}_r^s(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_2||X)\right] \\
&= \begin{cases} {}^1T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r\neq 1,\ s\neq 1 \\ {}^1T_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r=1,\ s\neq 1 \\ {}^1T_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r\neq 1,\ s=1 \\ T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r=1,\ s=1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^1T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (s-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\Bigg\{\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r p_1(x)^{1-r}dx\Bigg]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} \\
+\left[\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r p_2(x)^{1-r}d\mu\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}-2\Bigg\},\ r\neq 1,\ s\neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{}^1T_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \frac{1}{2}(s-1)^{-1}\left[e^{(s-1)K(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_1||X)}+e^{(s-1)K(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_2||X)}-2\right],\ s\neq 1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (r-1)^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\ln\left(\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r p_1(x)^{1-r}d\mu\right) \\
+\ln\left(\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r p_2(x)^{1-r}d\mu\right)\Bigg],\ r\neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r>0$ and $-\infty<s<\infty$

2.2. Second Generalizations. In particular, when $r=s$ in (13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^1T_s^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) &= (s-1)^{-1}\cdot \\
&\cdot\left[\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^s\left(\frac{p_1(x)^{1-s}+p_2(x)^{1-s}}{2}\right)d\mu-1\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

for all $s\neq 1$, $s>0$.

We shall use the expression (14) to give the alternative generalizations of AG – *divergence* measure. This unified way is given by

$$
{}^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \begin{cases} {}^2T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r\neq 1,\ s\neq 1 \\ {}^2T_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r=1,\ s\neq 1 \\ {}^2T_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r\neq 1,\ s=1 \\ T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & r=1,\ s=1 \end{cases} \tag{15}
$$

for all $r > 0$ and $-\infty < s < \infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^2T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (s-1)^{-1}\cdot \\
\cdot\left\{\left[\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{p_1(x)^{1-r}+p_2(x)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\mu\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}-1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{}^2T_1^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (s-1)^{-1}\left[e^{(s-1)T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)}-1\right],
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^2T_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = (r-1)^{-1}\cdot \\
\cdot\ln\left\{\int\left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{p_1(x)^{1-r}+p_2(x)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\mu\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r > 0$, $r \neq 1$, $s \neq 1$.

In particular, we have

$$
{}^1\mathcal{T}_s^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = {}^2\mathcal{T}_s^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X).
$$

2.3. Composition Relations. We observe that the measures ${}^\alpha\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ are continuous with respect to the parameters r and s. This allows us to write them in the following simplified way

$$
{}^\alpha\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = CE\left\{{}^\alpha T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)\,|r>0,\ r\neq 1,\ s\neq 1\right\},\ \alpha = 1,2, \tag{16}
$$

where "*CE*" stands for "*continuous extension*" with respect to r and s.

Also we can write

$$
{}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \mathcal{N}_s\left({}^1\mathcal{T}_r^1(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)\right) \tag{17}
$$

and

$$
{}^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \mathcal{N}_s\left(\mathcal{K}_r^1\left(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_1||X\right)\right)+\mathcal{N}_s\left(\mathcal{K}_r^1\left(\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2};\xi_2||X\right)\right), \tag{18}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_s : (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$(reals) is given by

$$
\mathcal{N}_s(x) = \begin{cases}(s-1)^{-1}\left[e^{(s-1)x}-1\right], & s\neq 1\\ x, & s=1\end{cases} \tag{19}
$$

Proposition 1. *The measure $\mathcal{N}_s(x)$ given above has the following properties:*

(i) $\mathcal{N}_s(x) \geqslant 0$ *with equality iff* $x = 0$*;*
(ii) $\mathcal{N}_s(x)$ *is an increasing function of* x*;*
(iii) $\mathcal{N}_s(x)$ *is an increasing function of* s*;*
(iv) $\mathcal{N}_s(x)$ *is strictly convex function of* x *for* $s > 1$*;*
(v) $\mathcal{N}_s(x)$ *is strictly concave function of* x *for* $s < 1$.

2.4. Alternative Generalizations. We see that the measure (14) is considered for $s > 0$. It is required for the non-negativity of the measure. We can rewrite it in little different way, where we don't require this condition. This form is given by

$$IT_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = [s(s-1)]^{-1} \cdot \cdot \left[\int \left(\frac{p_1(x)+p_2(x)}{2}\right)^s \left(\frac{p_1(x)^{1-s}+p_2(x)^{1-s}}{2}\right) d\mu - 1\right], \tag{20}$$

where $s \neq 0, 1$

The measure (20) admits the following limiting cases:

$$\lim_{s\to 0} IT_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = I(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$$

and

$$\lim_{s\to 1} IT_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X),$$

where $I(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ and $T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ are as given by (3) and (4) respectively.

In view of these limiting cases, we re-write the measure (20) in the following unified way

$$\mathcal{IT}_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \begin{cases} IT_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & s \neq 0, 1 \\ I(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & s = 0 \\ T(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & s = 1 \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

3. Relationship with Csiszár $\phi-$Divergence

We can relate the above generalizations of the AG – *divergence* measure with the well known Csiszár $\phi-$*divergence*. It is given as follows:

$${}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\eta_s\left(\phi(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}\right) + \eta_s\left(\phi^*(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}\right)\right]$$

and

$${}^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) = \eta_s\left(\phi^-(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}\right),$$

where

$$\eta_s(y) = \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1}\left[y^{s-1}-1\right], & s \neq 1 \\ y, & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\phi(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$, $\phi^*(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ and $\phi^-(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ are the $\phi-$*divergences* of ξ_1, ξ_2 in the notations of Vajda [26] with

$$\phi(x) = \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^r,$$

$$\phi^*(x) = x\phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

and

$$\phi^-(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\phi(x) + \phi^*(x)\right].$$

We can also write the measure (21) in terms of Csiszár $\phi-$*divergence* as follows:

$$\mathcal{IT}_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)=\int_{\mathcal{X}} p_2(x)\phi_{\mathcal{IT}_s}\left(\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}\right)d\mu, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\phi_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x)=\begin{cases}[2s(s-1)]^{-1}\left[(x^{1-s}+1)\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^s-(x+1)\right], & s\neq 0,1\\ \frac{x}{2}\ln x+\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)\ln\left(\frac{2}{x+1}\right), & s=0\\ \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)\ln\left(\frac{x+1}{2\sqrt{x}}\right), & s=1\end{cases}, \tag{23}$$

for all $x\in(0,\infty)$.

Proposition 2. *For all $r>0$ and $-\infty<s<\infty$, we have*

(i) ${}^{\alpha}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)\geqslant 0$ $(\alpha=1,2)$;

(ii) ${}^{1}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)\begin{cases}\leqslant {}^{2}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & s\leqslant r\\ \geqslant {}^{2}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X), & s\geqslant r\end{cases}$

(iii) $\mathcal{IT}_s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)\geqslant 0$.

4. Divergence Measures and Sufficiency of Experiments

Blackwell [2] definition of comparison of experiments states that experiment $\mathcal{E}_X$ is sufficient for experiment $\mathcal{E}_Y$, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_X\succeq\mathcal{E}_Y$, if there exists a stochastic transformation of X to a random variable $Z(X)$ such that for each $\theta\in\Theta$ the random variable $Z(X)$ and Y have identical distributions. By $\mathcal{E}_Y=\{Y,S_Y,Q_\theta;\theta\in\Theta\}$ we shall denote a second statistical experiment for which there exists a *gpdf* $g(y|\theta)$ for the distribution Q with respect to a $\sigma-$finite measure μ. According to this definition, if $\mathcal{E}_X\succeq\mathcal{E}_Y$, then there exists a nonnegative function h satisfying (DeGroot [7])

$$g(y|\theta)=\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(y|x)f(x|\theta)d\mu \tag{24}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(y|x)d\upsilon=1.$$

Changing the order of integration in (24), we get

$$g_i(y)=\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(y|x)f_i(x)d\mu,\ i=1,2. \tag{25}$$

Let I be any measure of information contained in an experiment. If $\mathcal{E}_X\succeq\mathcal{E}_Y$ implies that $I_X\geqslant I_Y$, then we say that $\mathcal{E}_X$ is as informative as $\mathcal{E}_Y$. This approach is successfully carried out by Lindley [14] for Shannon *entropy*. Goel and DeGroot [10] applied it for Kullback and Leibler [13] *relative information*. Ferentinos and Papaioannou [8] applied for $\alpha-$*order generalization* of Kullback and Leibler *relative information* and generalizations of Fisher *measure of information*. Author [20] extended it to different generalizations of *J–divergence* measure having two scalar parameters. For the *I – divergence measure* and their two parametric generalizations refer to Taneja et al. [25]. Here our aim is to compare

experiments for the *unified* $(r,s)-AG$ *– divergences* given by (13) and (15). Results are also extended for the measure (21).

Theorem 1. *If* $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$, *then* ${}^{\alpha}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \geqslant {}^{\alpha}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||Y)$ $(\alpha = 1,2)$ *for every* ξ_1, $\xi_2 \in \Xi$, *for all* $r > 0$ *and* $-\infty < s < \infty$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$, there exists a function h satisfying (24) and (25), then we can write

$$\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r g_1(y)^{1-r} = \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(y|x)\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)d\mu\right]^r \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(y|x)f_1(x)d\mu\right]^{1-r}. \tag{26}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality on the right side of (26), we get

$$\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r g_1(y)^{1-r} \begin{cases} \geqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left[h(y|x)\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)\right]^r [h(y|x)f_1(x)]^{1-r}\,d\mu, & 0<r<1 \\ \leqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left[h(y|x)\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)\right]^r [h(y|x)f_1(x)]^{1-r}\,d\mu, & r>1 \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{Y}} (g_1(y))^{1-r}\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r d\upsilon \begin{cases} \geqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}} (f_1(x))^{1-r}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r d\mu, & 0<r<1 \\ \leqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}} (f_1(x))^{1-r}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r d\mu, & r>1 \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

As $sign\left(\frac{s-1}{r-1}\right) = sign(s-1)$ for $r > 1$ and $sign\left(\frac{s-1}{r-1}\right) \neq sign(s-1)$ for $0 < r < 1$, where $sign(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $sign(x) = -1$ if $x < 0$, then from (28) one gets

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{Y}} (g_1(y))^{1-r}\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r d\upsilon\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} (f_1(x))^{1-r}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r d\mu\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}, \tag{29}$$

for all $r \neq 1$, $s \neq 1$, $r > 0$.

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{Y}}(g_2(y))^{1-r}\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r d\upsilon\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}(f_2(x))^{1-r}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r d\mu\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}, \tag{30}$$

for all $r \neq 1$, $s \neq 1$, $r > 0$

Adding (29) and (30), subtracting $2(s-1)^{-1}$ $(s \neq 1)$ and then dividing by 2, we get

$${}^1T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \geqslant {}^1T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||Y),\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1,\ r > 0.$$

Since the unified measure ${}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ given in (13) is a continuous extension of ${}^1T_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X)$ for the real parameters r and s we can immediately conclude that

$${}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||X) \geqslant {}^1\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1;\xi_2||Y),\ r > 0,$$

whenever $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$.

Let us prove now the second part. Since $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$, there exist a function h satisfying (24) and (25), then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{g_1(y)^{1-r}+g_2(y)^{1-r}}{2}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}h(y|x)f_1(x)d\mu\right]^{1-r}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}h(y|x)\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)d\mu\right]^r\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}h(y|x)f_2(x)d\mu\right]^{1-r}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}h(y|x)\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)d\mu\right]^r.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality in (31), integrating over $\mathcal{Y}$, and using the fact that $\int_{\mathcal{Y}}h(y|x)d\upsilon = 1$, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{Y}}\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{g_1(y)^{1-r}+g_2(y)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\upsilon \begin{cases}\geqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{f_1(x)^{1-r}+f_2(x)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\mu, & 0<r<1\\ \leqslant \int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{f_1(x)^{1-r}+f_2(x)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\mu, & r>1\end{cases}. \tag{32}$$

As $sign\left(\frac{s-1}{r-1}\right) = sign(s-1)$ for $r > 1$ and $sign\left(\frac{s-1}{r-1}\right) \neq sign(s-1)$ for $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{Y}}\left(\frac{g_1(y)+g_2(y)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{g_1(y)^{1-r}+g_2(y)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\upsilon\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{s-1}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{f_1(x)+f_2(x)}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{f_1(x)^{1-r}+f_2(x)^{1-r}}{2}\right)d\mu\right]^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}. \tag{33}$$

Subtracting $(s-1)^{-1}$ $(s \neq 1)$ on both sides of (33), we get

$$^2T(\xi_1, \xi_2||X) \geqslant {}^2T(\xi_1, \xi_2||Y),\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1,\ r > 0,$$

and consequently, we have

$$^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1, \xi_2||X) \geqslant {}^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\xi_1, \xi_2||Y),\ r > 0,$$

whenever $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$. □

Theorem 2. *If $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$, then $\mathcal{IT}_s(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) \geqslant \mathcal{IT}_s(\xi_1; \xi_2||Y)$ for every $\xi_1,\ \xi_2 \in \Xi$, for all $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$.*

The proof of the above theorem is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *(Joint convexity). If $\phi : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, then $C_\phi(\xi_1; \xi_2||X)$ jointly convex for every $\xi_1,\ \xi_2 \in \Xi$. Moreover if $\phi(1) = 0$, then $C_\phi(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) \geqslant 0$.*

Lemma 2. *If $\mathcal{E}_X \succeq \mathcal{E}_Y$, then $C_\phi(\xi_1; \xi_2||X) \geqslant C_\phi(\xi_1; \xi_2||Y)$ for every $\xi_1,\ \xi_2 \in \Xi$, provided ϕ is convex.*

Lemma 1 is due to Csiszár [6] and Lemma 2 is due to Ferentinos and Papaioannou [8].

Proof. of Theorem 1. In view of Lemmas 1 and 2, it is sufficient to prove the convexity of the function $\phi_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x)$ given by (18). It is in view of the following derivatives:

$$\phi'_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{s\left(\frac{x+1}{2x}\right)^{1-s} + (1-s)\left(\frac{x^s+1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{-s}}{2s(s-1)}, & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}\left[1 - x^{-1} - \ln x - 2\ln\left(\frac{2}{x+1}\right)\right], & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[\ln x + \ln\left(\frac{2}{x+1}\right)\right], & s = 1 \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

and

$$\phi''_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}\left(x^{s-2} + 1\right)\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^{-s-1}, & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{x^2+1}{x^2(x+1)}\right), & s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2x(x+1)}, & s = 1 \end{cases}. \tag{35}$$

Thus we have $\phi''_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$, and hence, $\phi_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(x)$ is *convex* for all $x > 0$. Also, we have $\phi_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that *I– & T– divergence of type s* given by (21) is *nonnegative* and *convex* in the pair of probability distributions P and Q. □

5. $\phi-$Divergence and Fisher Information Matrix

Consider a family $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{P}_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ of probability measures on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{A})$ dominated by a finite or $\sigma-$finite measure μ. The parameter space Θ can either be an open subset of the real line or an open subset of $n-$dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^k$. Let $f(x, \theta) = \frac{dP_\theta}{d\mu}$. Let $\Gamma = \{f(x, \theta)\,|x \in X,\ \theta \in \Theta\}$.

The Fisher [9] measure of information is given by

$$I_X^F(\theta) = \begin{cases} E_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \ln f(x,\theta)\right]^2, & \text{if } \theta \text{ is univariate} \\ E_\theta \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} \ln f(x,\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j} \ln f(x,\theta) \right\|_{k\times k}, & \text{if } \theta \text{ is } k-\text{variate} \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

where $\|(\cdot)\|_{k\times k}$ denotes a $k \times k$ matrix and E_θ denotes the expectation with respect to $f(x,\theta)$, where $f(x,\theta) \in \Gamma$. Let us suppose that the following regularity conditions are satisfied:

(a) $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} f(x,\theta)$ exists for all $x \in X$, all $\theta \in \Theta$, and all $i = 1, 2, ..., k$.

(b) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$,
$\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \int_A f(x,\theta) d\mu = \int_A \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} f(x,\theta) d\mu$, for all $i = 1, 2, ..., k$.

For $f_1, f_2 \in \Gamma$, the Csiszár [6] $\phi-$*divergence* can be re-written as

$$K_\phi(f_1||f_2) = \int f_2 \phi\left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right) d\mu, \tag{37}$$

with $\phi(1)$ not necessarily zero and $\phi(x)$ is a continuously differentiable nonnegative real function. As usual, the function $\phi(x)$ is generally supposed to be convex, but here we don't assume that $\phi(x)$ is convex.

Following Kagan [12] and Ferentinos and Papaioannou [8], we define

$$I_{ij}^C(\theta) = \liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t^2} \left\{ K_\phi \left(f(x,\theta) || \frac{f(x,\theta+te_i) + f(x,\theta+te_j)}{2} \right) - \phi(1) \right\}. \tag{38}$$

Then the Csiszár parametric matrix is given by

$$I_X^C(\theta) = \left\| I_{ij}^C(\theta) \right\|_{k\times k}, \tag{39}$$

where $\theta + te_i, \theta + te_j \in \Theta$, $i, j = 1, 2, ..., k$ and $e_1(1, 0, ..., 0)$, $e_2(0, 1, ..., 0)$, ..., $e_k(0, 0, ..., 1)$ are the unit vectors.

Suppose the following conditions hold:

(c) $\int \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta_i \partial\theta_j} f(x,\theta) d\mu \right| < \infty$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and $i, j = 1, 2, ..., k$.

(d) The third order partial derivative of $f(x,\theta)$ with respect to θ exists for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and $x \in X$.

Based on the above considerations the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3. *If the conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied, then for all $\theta \in \Theta$, we have*

$$I_X^C(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi''(1)}{2} I_X^F(\theta), & \text{if } \theta \text{ is univariate} \\ \frac{\phi''(1)}{2} \left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta) \right], & \text{if } \theta \text{ is } k-\text{variate} \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

where

$$S_X^F(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[M_X^F(\theta) + M_X^F(\theta)^T \right]$$

with

$$M_X^F(\theta) = \begin{Vmatrix} I_{11}^F(\theta) & I_{11}^F(\theta) & \cdots & I_{11}^F(\theta) \\ I_{22}^F(\theta) & I_{22}^F(\theta) & \cdots & I_{22}^F(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_{kk}^F(\theta) & I_{kk}^F(\theta) & \cdots & I_{kk}^F(\theta) \end{Vmatrix} = \left\| I_{ij}^F(\theta) \right\|_{k \times k}$$

and

$$I_{ij}^F(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln f(x, \theta) \right]^2.$$

This result has been derived by Aggarwal [1]. Similar results derived for the Rényi, Kagan, Kullback-Leibler, Matusita measures of information can be seen in Kagan [12], Aggarwal [1], Boekee [3], Ferentinos and Papaioannou [8], Taneja [20], Salicrú and Taneja [17], etc.

6. Unified (r, s)–T–Divergence and Fisher Information Matrix

To get the relationship between *unified (r, s)-T-divergence* and Fisher information matrix, first we give the following proposition due to Salicrú and Taneja [17].

Proposition 3. *Let*

$$G_\phi^h(f_1||f_2) = h\left(K_\phi(f_1||f_2) - \phi(1) \right),$$

where h is a continuous differentiable real function with $h(0) = 0$, and $K_\phi(f_1||f_2)$ is given by (37). Suppose the conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied. Then for $\theta \in \Theta$, we have

$$G_\phi^h \left(I_X^C(\theta) \right) = h'(0) I_X^C(\theta),$$

where

$$G_\phi^h \left(I_X^C(\theta) \right) = \left\| G_\phi^h \left(I_{ij}^C(\theta) \right) \right\|_{k \times k}$$

with

$$G_\phi^h \left(I_X^C(\theta) \right) = \liminf_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t^2} h \left(K_\phi \left(f(x, \theta) || \frac{f(x, \theta + te_i) + f(x, \theta + te_j)}{2} \right) - \phi(1) \right)$$

and $I_X^C(\theta)$ is the Csiszár information matrix given in (39).

Now we shall apply the above results to connect the measures (13), (15) and (21) with Fisher measure of information.

Proposition 4. *If the conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied, then for all $\theta \in \Theta$, we have*

$$ {}^{1}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{8}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right], \tag{41}$$

$$ {}^{2}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{8}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right] \tag{42}$$

and

$$ \mathcal{IT}_s(\theta) = \frac{1}{8}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right]. \tag{43}$$

Proof. We shall prove for each part separately.

We can write

$$ {}^{1}T_r^s(f_1||f_2) = h\left(K_{\phi_1}(f_1||f_2) - \phi_1(1)\right) + h\left(K_{\phi_2}(f_1||f_2) - \phi_2(1)\right),$$

where

$$\phi_1(x) = x\left(\frac{1+x}{2x}\right)^r,\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^r,\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

and

$$h(x) = [2(s-1)]^{-1}\left[(x+1)^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} - 1\right],\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1,\ r > 0.$$

This gives

$$\phi_1''(1) = \phi_2''(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{4}$$

and

$$h'(0) = [2(r-1)]^{-1},\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

We have

$${}^{1}T_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{16}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right],\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

and consequently,

$${}^{1}\mathcal{T}_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{16}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right],$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$, $0 < r < \infty$ and $-\infty < r < \infty$.

Again, we can write

$${}^{2}T_r^s(f_1||f_2) = h\left(K_{\phi}(f_1||f_2) - \phi_1(1)\right),$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^r\left(\frac{x^{1-r}+1}{2}\right),\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

and

$$h(x) = (s-1)^{-1}\left[(x+1)^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}} - 1\right],\ r \neq 1,\ s \neq 1,\ r > 0.$$

This gives

$$\phi''(1) = \frac{r(r-1)}{4}$$

and

$$h'(0) = (r-1)^{-1},\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

We have

$${}^2T_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{16}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right],\ r \neq 1,\ r > 0$$

and consequently,

$${}^2\mathcal{T}_r^s(\theta) = \frac{r}{16}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right],$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$, $0 < r < \infty$ and $-\infty < s < \infty$.

It is easy to check that $\phi''_{\mathcal{IT}_s}(1) = \frac{1}{4}$ for $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$. This gives

$$\mathcal{IT}_s(\theta) = \frac{1}{8}\left[S_X^F(\theta) + I_X^F(\theta)\right],$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$. □

References

[1] J. AGGARWAL, "Sur l'Information de Fisher, In: *Theories de l'Information*, J. Kampe de Feriet, Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, pp. 117-117.

[2] D. BLACKWELL, "Comparison of Experiments", In: *Proc. 2nd Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probabl.*, University of California Press, 1951, 93-103.

[3] D.E. BOEKEE, "The D_f Information of Order s", *Transactions of 8th Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions and Random Processes*, **Ser C**(1979), 55-66.

[4] J. BURBEA and C.R. RAO, "Entropy Differential Metric, Distance, and Divergence Measures in Probability Spaces: A Unified Approach", *J. Multi. Analysis*, **12**(1982), 575-596.

[5] J. BURBEA and C.R. RAO, "On the Convexity of some Divergence Measures Based in Entropy Functions", *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, IT-28(1982), 489-495.

[6] I. CSISZÁR "Information Type Measures of Difference of Probability Distributions and Indirect Observations", *Studia Scien. Math. Hunger*, **2**(1967), 299-318.

[7] M.H. DeGROOT, "*Optimal Statistical Decision*", McGraw-Hill, New York.

[8] K. FERENTIMOS and T. PAPAIOPANNOU, "New Parametric Measures of Information", *Information and Control*, **51**(1981), 193-208.

[9] R.A. FISHER, "Theory of Statistical Estimation", In: *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **22**(1925), 700-725.

[10] P.K. GOEL and M.H. DeGROOT, "Comparison of Experiments and Information Measures", *Ann. Statist.*, **7**(1979), 1066-1077.

[11] H. JEFFREYS, "An Invariant form of the prior Probability in Estimation Problems", In: *Proc. Royal Soc., Ser. A*, **186**(1946), 453-471.

[12] M. KAGAN, "On the theory of Fisher's amount of information", *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, **4**(1963), 991-993.

[13] S. KULLBACK, S. and L. A. LEIBLER, "On the Information and Sufficiency", *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **22**(1951), 79-86.

[14] D.V. LINDLEY, "On a Measure of Information provided by an Experiment", *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **27**(1956), 986-1005.

[15] C.R. RAO, "Diversity and Dissimilarity Coefficients: A Unified Approach", *J. Theoret. Popul. Biology*, **21**(1982), 24-43.

[16] A. RÉNYI, "On Measures of Entropy and Information", In: *4th Birkeley Symp. Math. Statist. and Prob.*, **1**(1961), 547-561.

[17] M. SALICRÚ and I.J. TANEJA, "Connections of Generalized Divergence Measures with Fisher Information Matrix", *Information Sciences*, **72**(1993), 251-269.

[18] C.E. SHANNON, "A Mathematical Theory of Communication", *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, **27**(1948), 379-423.

[19] R. SIBSON, "Information Radius", *Z. Wahrs. und verw Geb.*, **14**(1969), 149-160.

[20] I.J. TANEJA, "Statistical Aspects of Divergence Measures*", J. Statist. Plann. and Inference*, **16**(1987), 137-145.

[21] I.J. TANEJA, "On Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications", *Ad. Electronics and Electron Physics*, **76**(1989), 327-413.

[22] I.J. TANEJA, "New Developments in Generalized Information Measures", *Ad. in Imaging and Electron Physics*, **91**(1995), 37-135.

[23] I.J. TANEJA, *"Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications"*: On line book: *http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/∼taneja*

[24] I.J. TANEJA, "Generalized Symmetric Divergence Measures and Inequalities" – *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, *http://rgmia.vu.edu.au*, **7**(4)(2004), Art. 9

[25] I.J. TANEJA, L. PARDO and D. MORALES, "(r,s)-Information Radius of Type t and Comparison of Experiments", *Aplikace Matematiky*, **36**(6)(1991), 440-455.

[26] I. VAJDA, "*Theory of Statistical Inference and Information*", Kluvwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989.

Inder Jeet Taneja, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 88.040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

E-mail address: taneja@mtm.ufsc.br

URL: http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/∼taneja